

#2016-65

**GRAMA Appeal to State Records Committee J2016**

RECEIVED  
JUL 1 2016  
Per [Signature]

Requester's information: Roger Bryner

Appeal to: Nova Dubovik  
346 South Rio Grande Street  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101  
[ndubovik@utah.gov](mailto:ndubovik@utah.gov)

Notice to the entity: Adam Lenhard, Clearfield City Manager  
55 South State Street  
Clearfield UT 84015  
[adam.lenhard@clearfieldcity.org](mailto:adam.lenhard@clearfieldcity.org)

Facts and attachments

- A1 GRAMA request of 6-6-2016
- A2 GRAMA request of 6-9-2016
- A3 GRAMA request of 6-21-2016
- A4 GRAMA request of 7-7-2016
- B1... initial response of 6-20 to 6-6 request A1
- B2... initial response of 7-1 to 6-9 request A2
- B3... initial response of 7-6 to 6-21 request A3
- B4... initial response of 7-12 to 7-7 request A4
- C1 6-21 appeal to city manager of initial response of 6-20 (B1)
- C2 7-1 appeal to city manager of initial response of 7-1 (B2)
- C3 7-6 appeal to city manager of initial response of 7-6 (B3)
- C3 7-12 appeal to city manager of initial response of 7-6 (B4)
- D1 6-22 appeal answer from city manager.
- D2 7-6 appeal answer from city manager.
- D3 7-11 appeal answer from city manager.
- D4 7-14 appeal answer from city manager.

Explanation of Relief Sought

I am appealing the redactions in the production from Clearfield City attached as exhibits B1, B2, B3 and B4. I would like to appeal the redaction and request an in camera review of the unredacted documents. in specific if any portions of the documents could be excluded from redaction up to and including the entire document. If there is any balancing test I would like the committee to weigh the balance. Special attention should be paid to the attorney client privilege as only some legal advice to a client is covered, and any information which is not legal advice is not. Finally if neither of those request is

granted, if there is a provision for sharing unredacted documents under restriction on further release I would like that option addressed and granted if possible.

I am appealing the completeness and forthrightness of the entire production set. I am requesting certifications under oath of the completeness of records, at a minimum.

It should be noted in support of this assertion that the responses are not complete or accurate, that the response in exhibit B1 claims there were no electronic records of fax logs. Yet the response in B4 is a fax log. So B1 is a false statement.

I am incorporating all arguments in the appeal documents in section C in this appeal, and ask that all responsive documents be provided free of charge.

**BECAUSE ALL FEES HAVE BEEN WAIVED THERE IS NO REVIEW OF THE FEE WAIVER DECISION BY THE COMMITTEE.** However I maintain all my arguments out of an abundance of caution.

Dated July 14, 2015

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Roger Bryner". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above a horizontal line.

Roger Bryner