

Ms Gina Proctor  
St Rec Comm  
346 S. Rio Grande  
SLC, UT 84101  
May 1, 2019

REC'D MAY 08 2019

RE: GRAMA appeal from UDC Deputy Director James Hudspeth

Dear Ms. Proctor,

Enclosed are the documents processed up to the denial of my GRAMA request for my medical records containing entries and references to my "glucose" levels and A1c relating to diagnosis of diabetes from 1999 to 2007. UDC Medical failed to note Lab reports and internal diagnostic tests for at least 10 years -- which all indicated that I was diabetic before the diagnose and treatment of this disease began on 2017; and only after I had developed serious and debilitating advanced symptoms.

I initially had obtained only records going back to 2013, and then back to 2008; and now request ALL remaining records from 1999 to December 31, 2007. UDC had no trouble at all being able to "locate" those earlier records, but ever since they seem not to know what I am requesting. I suspect that I was also diabetic already well before 2008.

Ms. Proctor, as you will note, UDC is now denying records because I won't submit a Money Transfer. UDC's FD 15, which sets "indigence" at \$8.99 in a 45 day period or less is unconstitutional, arbitrary, and automatically renders prisoners "non-indigent" across the board, without ANY due process. I realize that you cannot get into that aspect of it in relation to this appeal, but that is now also being litigated in Kaestel, et al vs. Gary Herbert, Gov. et al, #180905486, and the entire GRAMA issue as it exists in UDC will be fully advanced in this case.

However, in contrast to this UDC FD 15 indigence issue, I also want to make you aware that after the Court made a proper objective due process determination, Judge Su Chon found me to be indigent, and set aside \$360 in filing fees. And, it is my opinion that your office should apply to this appeal my "indigence" status as established by the Court, not the one unconstitutionally applied by UDC, and direct that the requested records be provided to me free of charge.

As set forth in my "cover letter" the attachment UCA 63G-2-203(1)(A)(2)(4)(b-c), clearly states that GRAMA charges should NOT be levied against those persons as qualified.

Thank you for your time and assistance

Respectfully submitted,



Rolf Kaestel



P.S. Please return Mr. Hudspeth's  
letter as it is an exhibit in the  
A Jove case. Thanks