Edgardo Mata 14867
C.U.C.F.
P.O. Box 550
Gunnison, Utah 84634-0550

#2016-23

1-10

RECEIVED
MAR 24 2016
For

Appeal Req. #2016-23

March 21, 2016

Dear Ms. Dubovik:

Subject: Documents requested for Committee hearing.

Ms. Dubovik thank you for taking the time to write to me. Most often than not my pen is guided by unarticulated passion, on that sense. So I tend to confuse more, rather than explain. The following, I hope can better explain my request.

(1) I do not have denial letter. I mailed it to Deputy Director, Mike Haddon and did not receive it back.

(2) I am requesting my entire S.T.G. file and all relevant information pertaining to the Validation process.

This is what I'm requesting:
a. Validation Matrix
b. Fd-25 Special Intensive Management Validation
c. Fd 29/03.02 Procedure: Reporting STG Intelligence Information:

A. In an effort to maintain accurate data, staff should document STG Intelligence Information in the appropriate report format (i.e., ICR, IR-1, memorandum, database STG intelligence form).

B. Upon receipt of STG related reports, the STG Intelligence Unit shall Update the STG database.

C. Each item reported shall be supported by documentation and/or physical evidence!

(3) FD 29/04.02 Procedure: STG Validation Process

D. If an offender wishes to challenge the validation, the offender addresses the contested information in their respective OMR (Offender Management Review Team) with a member of the STG Intelligence Unit present. The final decision for reversal of a validation rests with the STG Intelligence Unit.

Ms. Nova Dubovik and records committee Members.

Enclose, you will find evidence to my allegations. If the prison would have given me a minimal due process hearing ((3) above) as outlined in fd 29/04.02(D) we would not be here right now. Because they would have disclosed what I am asking you now to disclose. But U.D.C. absolutely refuses to. This has caused me to suffer many years of many tribulations. When I ask for a due process hearing U.D.C. says "absolutely not! because fd 25 says so!" When I ask to let me see all relevant information pertaining to the validation process including fd25 they say "Nope! We don't have to". So I ask I.C.A. how am I to defend a position

to you inconsistencies in the evidence if you do not let me see them'

It is easier for U.D.C. not to read my argument than to reply to it.

In closing, I beg you not to do the same These records would have been released to me by U.D.C. by fair procedure under protected liberty interest by virtue of procedural due process-fd 29/04 '02 (D)

Thank you for your consideration and time.

Sincerely,

Edgardo Mata