REC'D OCT 22 2018

State Records Committee: 10-17-18

Re: GRAMA Appeal

On 8-10-18 I submitted a GRAMA request to UDC. On 8-22-18 Lori Houskeeper responded and provided some of the records I'd requested. She did not inform me that any reports were "pending" and couldn't be provided. I appealed on 8-30-18 and Director Hudspeth provided some of the records which had since been finalized. But they failed to provide any of the records related to incident #342381. I appealed to Director Hudspeth again on 9-15-18 asking for records related to this. On 10-15-18 Director Hudspeth responded and denied my request under UCA § 63G-2-201(9).

My appeal to Director Hudspeth was <u>not</u> a new request. Records related to 342381 should've been provided from the onset. A "pending" report can still be printed. And Ms. Houskeeper's letter doesn't say anything is pending and can't be released.

Therefore, I request a hearing with the Records Committe to address this denial.

Sincerely,

Patrick Sullivan #210357
CUCF
PO Box 550
Gunnison, UT 84634

REC'D OCT 22 2018

State Records Committee: 10-17-18

Re: GRAMA Appeal

On 8-31-18 I submitted several GRAMA requests all in one envelope. All requested records happened to belong to the same "record series" (inmate record files), but I put them on separate forms to make sure records understood exactly what I was requesting. UDC could've treated it as one request, but instead chose to treat each form as a separate request and assign a different request number. On 9-10-18 Lori Housekeeper responded with a "rejection" notice (but failed to inform me of my right to appeal, which is required under GRAMA) and said I had to pick which one request I'd like as I only had one request remaining per UCA § 63G-2-201(9).

I appealed this denial on 9-12-18. On 10-12-18 Director Hudspeth responded, provided records for one of the requests, but denied the other two.

I'd like to appeal the denial to the Records Committee and request a hearing. All requests in the one envelope should've been treated as one, as they're all for records pertaining to the same record series. Furthermore, 63G-2-201(9) says an entity "is not required" to provide an inmate with a record. But it doesn't say an entity "may not," "can, not," or "shall not." The verbiage is discretionary. It is an abuse of that discretion to automatically deny each and every request above and beyond 5 per year, with no regard for what the inmate happens to be

RECD OCT 23 2018

requesting. UDC should evaluate each and every request after the 5th per year and decide on an individual basis whether or not to provide a record. A blanket policy of denying every request after 5 per year is an abuse of discretion.

Also, redacted from my Offender History Report was my classification assesment scores. There is no reason nor justification to redact this information as it relates to me personally and I have been provided other documents by UDC telling me my score is 4. There is nothing "protected" about this information and non-redacted versions should be provided.

Sincerely,

Patrick Swinton #210357
CUCF
PO Box 550
Gunnison, UT 84634